Concerned Scientists



PRIMER SUMMARY

Low- and Zero-Emissions Zones

Opportunities and Challenges in Designing Equitable Transportation Policies

Low- and zero-emissions zones (LEZs and ZEZs)—designated areas of a city in which vehicles must meet certain emissions requirements to enter—are a policy tool available to cities to improve air quality, reduce congestion, raise revenue, and achieve climate goals. If thoughtfully developed and implemented, these zones can also address racial and economic equity in communities disproportionately burdened by vehicle pollution.

This document summarizes the key findings of a primer developed by the Union of Concerned Scientists and the Greenlining Institute. The primer is not meant to be prescriptive; rather, it should help policymakers and stakeholders understand and evaluate the utility of LEZs and ZEZs for their communities, and provide considerations toward equitable policymaking should they choose to pursue such zones.

Making Equity Real in ZEZs

The primer investigates whether ZEZs can be designed equitably and, if so, how such zones can be implemented in California while considering the diverse needs of each community as well as regulatory constraints.

Equity concerns about existing ZEZs include a general lack of information and education for laypeople about these zones; the ability to pay fees, fines, and penalties for using a gasoline or diesel vehicle within the zone; ensuring a focus on the greatest sources of pollution inequity—often medium- and heavy-duty vehicle emissions; potential economic and pollution displacement burdens on low-income communities and communities of color; and the fair use of revenue generated from ZEZs.

The Greenlining Institute gathered qualitative information from various stakeholders working on air pollution mitigation efforts in communities located in East Los Angeles, Fresno, the Inland Empire, San Diego, and Stockton. The following six points consistently emerged from the stakeholder interviews, and are critical to consider in the development of any ZEZ:

- Risk of burden on local residents
- Enforcement and accountability concerns
- Trust between local government and community members
- Distribution of benefits and complementary policies to achieve increasing equity benchmarks
- Targeting emissions sources that are appropriate for a given community

How LEZz and ZEZs Can Benefit Communities

One of the major potential benefits of a ZEZ is a reduction in harmful tailpipe pollution. Exposure to air pollution generated from on-road vehicles harms people and is linked to many ailments, including asthma, cardiovascular disease, and stroke.

ZEZs have the potential to eliminate tailpipe emissions in a targeted area. By replacing combustion engines with electric motors, the vast majority of vehicle emissions can be eliminated. If these zones are placed to benefit disadvantaged communities that currently have high exposure to air pollution, the zones have the potential to start to reduce existing inequities in pollution burden between racial and economic groups. While a community-wide ZEZ may not be possible today, even a partial removal of tailpipe pollution via a ZEZ for larger trucks would have benefits; see the table on the next page for a summary of the ways in which emissions zones can make a difference in communities.

Policy Recommendations

Where needed, states should make regulatory changes to allow LEZs to be designed by cities such that specific needs of the communities are addressed, and encourage these zones to be developed with public oversight and stakeholder engagement. They should also provide cities with technical assistance, funding, and measurement, evaluation, and learning resources needed to make the most of pilot projects, especially in under-resourced communities.

If local entities are interested in designing an LEZ pilot, they can help maximize a zone's benefits and mitigate potential harms by communicating early and often with their stakeholders, including vulnerable communities and affected businesses; conducting comprehensive feasibility and risk assessments and communicating the results; and seeking and incorporating public feedback at all stages of design, implementation, management, and operation.

There are additional factors to consider when considering these zones in communities of color. Enforcement mechanisms must not perpetuate systems of oppression; for example, it is not recommended that police enforce the zone, and fines must not further harm the most economically disadvantaged individuals. Community stakeholders also must be at the table to decide what enforcement mechanisms—such as automatic license plate readers—may be appropriate.

• Funding oversight

Summary of Congestion and Emissions Zones

	Zero-Emissions Zone	Low-Emissions Zone	Congestion Zone
Primary Benefits	Reduces global warming emissions	Reduces global warming emissions	Reduces traffic
	Improves air quality	Improves air quality	Raises revenue
Other Potential Benefits	Encourages zero-emissions vehicle (ZEV) infrastructure and adoption	Encourages ZEV infrastructure and adoption	Contributes to bike/pedestrian safety
	Raises revenue	Raises revenue	Reduces noise
	Reduces traffic	Reduces traffic	Improves air quality
	Reduces noise	Reduces noise	Reduces global warming
	Contributes to bike/pedestrian safety	Contributes to bike/pedestrian safety Emissions target can be	emissions Can facilitate future land-use
		lowered over time	changes
Examples of Parameters	Only ZEVs can enter the zone Any vehicle can be prohibited from entering the zone	Can apply only to certain types of vehicles (for example, light-, medium-, heavy-duty)	All vehicles may be subject to fee, permit, or fine to enter the zone Can be tailored to certain classes or
		Can apply only during certain times Can require that vehicles meet certain pollution standards to enter	types of vehicles
Enforcement Mechanism Options	Checkpoints and tolls	Checkpoints and tolls	Checkpoints and tolls
	Cameras, registrations, and ticketing	Cameras, registrations, and ticketing	Cameras, registrations, and ticketing
	Visual inspection and ticketing	Visual inspection and ticketing	Visual inspection and ticketing
Common Exceptions	Low-income drivers	Nonregulated types of vehicles (for	Low-income drivers
	Those who live within the zone	example, light-duty vehicles in a medium- or heavy-duty zone)	People who live inside the zone
	Public transit Vehicles for disabled persons Emergency vehicles	Low-income drivers Those who live within the zone Public transit Vehicles for disabled persons Emergency vehicles	People who pay for a permit
			to operate inside the zone
			Public transit
			Other shared mobility modes
			ZEVs for dual-purpose zone
			Vehicles for disabled persons
			Emergency vehicles
State Approval Needed? (CA)	Yes	Yes	No

FIND THIS DOCUMENT AND RELATED MATERIALS ONLINE: www.ucsusa.org/resources/low-and-zero-emissions-zones www.greenlining.org/publications/reports/2021/equitable-low-zero-emission-zones

The Union of Concerned Scientists puts rigorous, independent science to work to solve our planet's most pressing problems. Joining with people across the country, we combine technical analysis and effective advocacy to create innovative, practical solutions for a healthy, safe, and sustainable future. The Greenlining Institute works toward a future when communities of color can build wealth, live in healthy places filled with economic opportunity, and are ready to meet the challenges posed by climate change. To achieve this vision, Greenlining is committed to building a just economy by acting as an incubator of new policy ideas, a bridge builder between diverse partners, and an advocate to build momentum for transformative change.